

Argument for Scientists USFWS, PIFWO, Honolulu

Audience Chart

Document Type: 5 Year Reviews, PIFWO

	Geo Team Manager	Plant / Animal Recovery Coordinator	Conservation & Restoration Team Manager: Megan Laut	Programmatic Deputy	State Partners	Regional Office
a) Purpose in reading the document.	Direct supervisor. Quality of the work. Accuracy. Schedule. Consistency within their team.	Information flow, what happened in the last five years? Tell a story that gets to a decision. Does the species still warrant continued protection or a change in status? Supported by the evidence and	Clear and concise; legally defensible. Esp with high-profile species.	Look at a package to determine whether we're meeting our workload standard. Maintaining our GPRA goals to brief our field supervisor. Are we fulfilling our mandate?	Provide the data. Comment on reclassification.	Determine whether reclassification is legally defensible and consistent with DOI goals under various administrations.
b) Degree of knowledge regarding the topic.						
c) Holds an opinion about the topic. If yes, basis for opinion? (if applicable)						
d) Needs to share information with other audiences (identify).						